FILED

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

JAN 09 2018

Sherri R., Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk

Kim E. Clark

A4029

10940 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1600 Los Angeles, CA 90024 (310) 606-2627 (310) 606-2647 fax

(310) 606-2647 fax

Attorney for Plaintiffs, SCOOBEEZ GLOBAL, INC., an Idaho corporation; and SCOOBEEZ, a California corporation

Scott A. Sheikh, Esq. (SBN: 251505)

THE SHEIKH LAW FIRM, a Professional corporation

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

SUMMONS ISSUED

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

SCOOBEEZ GLOBAL, INC., an Idaho corporation, formerly known as ABT HOLDINGS, INC., an Idaho corporation; and SCOOBEEZ, a California corporation,

Plaintiff(s),

|| vs.

IMRAN FIROZ, an individual; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: EC067690

UNLIMITED JURISDICTION (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000)

COMPLAINT FOR:

- 1) BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY DUTY OF CARE;
- 2) BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY-DUTY OF LOYALTY;
- 3) FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT AND DECEIT;
- 4) **NEGLIGENCE**;
- 5) CONVERSION:
- 6) IMPOSITION OF CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST
- 7) VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200
- 8) VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS CODE § 25402;
- 9) WASTE OF CORPORATE ASSETS; AND
- 10) UNJUST ENRICHMENT.

25 26 27

1

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Scoobeez ("Scoobeez"), a California corporation, and Scoobeez Global, Inc., an Idaho corporation ("Scoobeez Global," and together with Scoobeez, "Plaintiffs") by and through their attorneys, alleges and complains as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Scoobeez Global is, and was at all times herein alleged, an Idaho corporation with its principal place of business at 396 S. Pasadena Ave., Pasadena, CA 91105 and at all relevant times licensed to do business in California. Prior to March 29, 2017, Scoobeez Global was known as ABT Holdings, Inc. ("ABT")
- 2. Plaintiff Scoobeez is, and was at all times herein alleged, a California corporation with its principal place of business at 396 S. Pasadena Ave., Pasadena, CA 91105 and at all relevant times licensed to do business in California.
- 3. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that defendant Imran Firoz ("Firoz"), is, and at all times herein mentioned was, an individual residing in the County of Orange, State of California.
- 4. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants Does 1 through 50 are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues the Doe defendants by fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to show the true names and capacities of the Doe defendants when they have been ascertained.
- 5. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that, at all times herein mentioned, each defendant named in each cause of action of this Complaint was the agent, servant, employee, representative, partner, joint venturer and/or alter ego of each of his/her/its co-defendants and was acting within his/her/its scope and capacity as such, except where alleged or contended otherwise.
- 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that, that each defendant named in each cause of action of this Complaint is responsible for the damages alleged therein.

- 7. Whenever in this Complaint reference is made to any act of Defendants, such allegations shall be deemed to mean all named defendants and Does 1 through 50, or their officers, agents, managers, representatives, employees, heirs, assignees, customers and tenants, did or authorized such acts while actively engages in the operation, management, direction or contract of the affairs of Defendants and while acting within the course and scope of their duties.
- 8. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that, before the commencement of this action, Culver sold, assigned, and set over to Ascentium all of its right, benefits, title, and interest in and to the transactions that are the subject of this Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court is the proper court and this action is properly filed in this county and in this judicial district because Defendants conduct business in the City of Pasadena, County of Los Angeles, State of California and because Defendant's obligations and liabilities arise therein.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Scoobeez Global is the majority shareholder of Scoobeez. Scoobeez provides ondemand delivery services to its clients' customers in various markets across the country.
- 11. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that: i) from December 2011 to March 2017, Firoz was the Chief Financial Officer of ABT; and ii) from December 2011 to May 2015, Firoz was also the Chief Executive Officer of ABT.
- 12. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that during his time with Scoobeez Global and Scoobeez, Firoz failed to perform and faithfully execute his duties as a shareholder, director, and CFO of the Company by, amongst other things:
 - a. Failing to properly monitor cash balances of the Plaintiffs;
 - b. Failing to implement risk management procedures and cash controls within accounting and billing departments;

- Failing to properly manage Plaintiffs' financial information and accounting in accordance with applicable standards, such as Generally Accepted Accounting Principles;
- d. Failing to properly report financial information to the Board of Directors;
- e. Falsely reporting information to the Board of Directors to benefit himself and others outside of the Plaintiffs.
- f. Misappropriating confidential information and disclosing insider information to non-corporate officers and to parties that are in direct business conflict with the Plaintiffs;
- g. Representing or consulting with other Publicly Traded companies without providing the Company with the necessary and proper notice and disclosures;
- h. Failing to properly and timely review, prepare, and approve public accounting statements;
- i. Removing restrictive legends from his own stock certificates and those of others without obtaining proper authorization;
- j. Issuing Preferred Shares in Scoobeez Global to related party for his own benefit without proper authorization from the Board of Directors using falsified resolutions; and
- k. Failing to maintain proper documentation of financial transactions, such as loans to and from the Plaintiffs.
- 13. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Firoz used the above along with false accusations of embezzlement in order to improperly leverage a takeover of the Plaintiffs.
- 14. As result of his conduct, Firoz was terminated from all positions with Scoobeez Global and Scoobeez on March 14, 2017.
- 15. At or around the time of his termination, Firoz deleted documentation and emails from the Scoobeez Global servers to conceal the above conduct.

- 16. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that, subsequent to his termination, Firoz sold and assisted others in selling stock he had wrongfully removed stock legends in an attempt to manipulate Scoobeez Global's stock price.
- 17. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer from damages in amounts as yet unascertained, but subject to proof at trial.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Fiduciary Duty - Duty of Care

- 18. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every previous and subsequent paragraph as though set forth fully herein except to the extent it conflicts. This cause of action is brought against Defendant and Does 1 through 50, jointly and individually.
- 19. Based his relationship with the Plaintiffs, Firoz owed, fiduciary duties to Plaintiffs, including duty to use reasonable care.
- 20. Firoz breached this to duty Plaintiffs to use reasonable care taking the actions described above in Paragraphs 12, 13, 14, and 16.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of Firoz's breach of his duty to use reasonable care, Plaintiffs have suffered damages in excess of \$5,000,000, the exact amount to be proven at the time of trial.
- 22. The aforementioned acts were willful, wanton, malicious, and/or oppressive, and were undertaken with the specific intent of advancing Defendants' own financial interests at the expense of, and with total disregard for, the rights and interests of Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to exemplary and punitive damages according to proof.

////

///

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Fiduciary Duty - Duty of Loyalty

- 23. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every previous and subsequent paragraph as though set forth fully herein except to the extent it conflicts. This cause of action is brought against Defendant and Does 1 through 50 jointly and individually.
- 24. . Based on his relationships with the Plaintiffs, Firoz owed fiduciary duties to Plaintiffs, including the duty of loyalty.
- 25. Firoz breached his fiduciary duties to Plaintiffs to use reasonable care taking the actions described above in Paragraphs 12, 13, 14, and 16.
- 26. As a direct and proximate result of Firoz's breach of his fiduciary duty of undivided loyalty to Plaintiffs, the Plaintiffs have suffered damages in excess of \$5,000,000, the exact amount to be proven at the time of trial.
- 27. The aforementioned acts were willful, wanton, malicious, and/or oppressive, and were undertaken with the specific intent of advancing Defendants' own financial interests at the expense of, and with total disregard for, the rights and interests of Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to exemplary and punitive damages according to proof.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Fraudulent Concealment and Deceit

- 28. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every previous and subsequent paragraph as though set forth fully herein except to the extent it conflicts. This cause of action is brought against Defendant and Does 1 through 50 jointly and individually.
- 29. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that the actions or failures described in Paragraphs 12, 13, 14, and 16 arose out of a scheme of concealment in order to devalue the company and leverage a takeover.

- 30. Plaintiffs were not aware of Firoz's conduct because he was an officer and fiduciary of Scoobeez Global and Scoobeez in charge of managing the financials and financial information of the Plaintiffs.
- 31. As a result of Firoz's conduct, the Plaintiffs have suffered damages in excess of \$5,000,000, the exact amount to be proven at the time of trial.
- 32. The aforementioned acts were willful, wanton, malicious, and/or oppressive, and were undertaken with the specific intent of advancing Defendants' own financial interests at the expense of, and with total disregard for, the rights and interests of Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to exemplary and punitive damages according to proof.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

<u>Negligence</u>

- 33. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every previous and subsequent paragraph as though set forth fully herein except to the extent it conflicts. This cause of action is brought against Defendant and Does 1 through 50 jointly and individually.
- 34. By virtue of his position with the Plaintiffs, Firoz owed a duty to faithfully and competently execute his duties.
- 35. Firoz breached that duty as described described in Paragraphs 12, 13, 14, and 16 and this was the proximate cause of substantial financial harm to the Plaintiffs.
- 36. As a result of Firoz's conduct, the Plaintiffs have suffered damages in excess of \$5,000,000, the exact amount to be proven at the time of trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Conversion

37. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every previous and subsequent paragraph as though set forth fully herein except to the extent it conflicts. This cause of action is brought against Defendant and Does 1 through 50 jointly and individually.

///

- 38. Defendant Firoz has converted property in the form of Scoobeez Global Preferred Stock improperly given to a related party for his own use, benefit, and financial gain.
- 39. Defendant Firoz has improperly exercised indirect and direct dominion over that property.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of that conduct, Firoz has improperly received, retained, and disposed of that property that rightfully belongs to Scoobeez Global.
- 41. Plaintiff Scoobeez Global has incurred damages, in an amount of money that continues to accrue, and that exceeds \$5,000,000, subject to proof at trial.
- 42. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendant's conduct was intentional, despicable, malicious, oppressive and fraudulent, and was committed with conscious disregard of the rights of Scoobeez Global. Said plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to an award of exemplary damages against Defendant.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Imposition of Constructive Trust

- 43. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every previous and subsequent paragraph as though set forth fully herein except to the extent it conflicts. This cause of action is brought against Defendant and Does 1 through 50 jointly and individually.
- 44. By reason of the fraudulent and otherwise wrongful manner in which the Firoz, obtained his alleged right, claim or interest in and to the funds of the Plaintiffs and the Preferred Shares in Scoobeez Global which he wrongfully issued to a related party, Firoz has no legal or equitable right, claim or interest therein, but, instead, Firoz is an involuntary trustee holding said property and profits therefrom in constructive trust for Plaintiffs with the duty to convey the same to Plaintiff forthwith.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq.

- 45. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every previous and subsequent paragraph as though set forth fully herein except to the extent it conflicts. This cause of action is brought against Defendant and Does 1 through 50 jointly and individually.
- 46. Defendant's aforementioned conduct constitutes unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices which are prohibited under *Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq.*
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful business acts or practices, Plaintiffs seek all relief as provided for under *Business & Professions Code Section 17203*.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California Corporations Code §25402

- 48. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every previous and subsequent paragraph as though set forth fully herein except to the extent it conflicts. This cause of action is brought against Defendant and Does 1 through 50 jointly and individually.
- 49. At the time that the Firoz sold his Scoobeez Global stock as stated herein, by reason of his high executive and/or directorship positions with Scoobeez Global, Firoz had access to highly material information regarding the Company.
- 50. At the time of such sales, that information was not generally available to the public or the securities markets. Had such information been generally available, it could have significantly affected the market price of Scoobeez Global shares at that time.
- 51. Firoz had actual knowledge of material, adverse, non-public information and thus sold their Scoobeez Global stock in California in violation of *California Corporations Code* §25402.
- 52. Pursuant to *California Corporations Code §25502.5*, Firoz is liable to Scoobeez Global for damages in an amount up to three times the difference between the price at which Scoobeez Global common stock was sold by him and the market value which Scoobeez Global

stock would have had at the time of the sale if the information known to the Firoz had been publicly disseminated prior to that time and a reasonable time had elapsed for the market to absorb the information.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Waste of Corporate Assets

- 53. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every previous and subsequent paragraph as though set forth fully herein except to the extent it conflicts. This cause of action is brought against Defendant and Does 1 through 50 jointly and individually.
- 54. The misconduct described in Paragraphs 12, 13, 14, and 16 resulted in the waste of corporate assets for which Firoz is liable to the Plaintiffs in an amount not less than \$5,000,000.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Unjust Enrichment

- 55. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every previous and subsequent paragraph as though set forth fully herein except to the extent it conflicts. This cause of action is brought against Defendant and Does 1 through 50 jointly and individually.
- 56. By his wrongful acts and omissions, Firoz was unjustly enriched to the expense and detriment of Plaintiffs.
- 57. Plaintiffs seek restitution from Firoz and seeks an order of this Court disgorging all profits, benefits, and other compensation obtained by Firoz from his wrongful conduct.

COMPLAINT

Dated: January 8, 2018

THE SHEIKH LAW FIRM,

a Professional corporation

Scott A. Sheikh, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs

COMPLAINT